

Natural Resistance to Eastern Tent Caterpillar Among Rosaceous Trees

T.G. Ranney, J.F. Walgenbach, J.D. Burton, E.P. Maness, and D.M. Pharr
North Carolina

Nature of Work: Eastern tent caterpillar (*Malacosoma americana*)(ETC) is one of the most widespread defoliators of deciduous trees in the eastern United States (2). Many species of rosaceous trees, including cherries (*Prunus spp.*) and crabapples (*Malus spp.*), are preferred hosts of ETC (1). Long-term control of this pest is complicated due to its wide distribution and diverse host range. Webs are highly visible and have been known to induce a primal fear among the generally entomophobic urban population resulting in a low "aesthetic threshold" of tolerance. The objective of this study was to evaluate a diverse collection of rosaceous trees for natural resistance to Eastern Tent Caterpillar.

A collection of 54 taxa of rosaceous trees were evaluated for natural resistance to Eastern Tent Caterpillar. No-choice feeding trials were conducted in a laboratory to evaluate growth rates, developmental factors and survival of the larvae fed leaves from the different taxa as a measure of antibiosis. Larvae were provided with leaves every other day collected from field grown trees every other day. Mean relative growth rate of the larvae was calculated as: $(\ln \text{ final weight} - \ln \text{ initial weight})/\text{time}$, where \ln is the natural log. Foliage samples were collected concurrently with feeding assays, freeze dried, and later analyzed for cyanide, nitrogen, total phenolics, and soluble carbohydrates including sucrose, glucose, fructose, and sorbitol.

Oviposition preference was evaluated as a measure of antixenosis. Pupae and larvae were randomly distributed throughout replicated ($n=3$) field plantings of flowering cherries and crabapples and egg masses were counted in the fall after leaf drop.

Results and Discussion: Relative growth rates varied considerably from a high of $213 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{week}^{-1}$ for insects fed leaves from *Malus* 'Madonna' to a low of $22 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{week}^{-1}$ for insects fed leaves from *Pyrus calleryana* 'Bradford' (Table 1). Pupa weights and survival showed similar trends (data not shown). Although none of the plants were completely resistant, many of these taxa demonstrated some antibiosis as indicated by reduced insect growth rates. Larvae fed *Pyrus calleryana* 'Bradford', *M. tschonskii*, *M.* 'Golden Raindrops', and *Prunus sargentii* had growth rates of less than 65% of maximum. Of the endogenous compounds that were analyzed, only total soluble carbohydrates were correlated with growth rate ($r=0.68$).

Variation in number of egg masses per tree was found to be a function of taxa and tree height. Trees smaller than 2.25 m were less likely to attract egg-laying females. For that reason, only trees ≥ 2.25 m were included in this analysis (Table 2). Seven taxa of *Malus* and 1 taxon of *Prunus* were found to strongly attract females and had means of 2.9, or more, egg masses per tree. Eighteen other taxa had no egg masses.

Significance to Industry: Of the 54 taxa of trees studied, only 4 (*Pyrus calleryana* 'Bradford', *M. tschonskii*, *M.* 'Golden Raindrops', and *Prunus sargentii*) were adequately resistant to reduce insect growth rates by more than 65%. These taxa might be considered for planting where ETC is prevalent. Seven taxa of *Malus* (*M. hupehensis*, *M.* Sugar Tyme, *M.* 'Radiant', *M.* 'Doubloons', *M.* 'Sinai Fire', *M.* 'Sentinel', and *M.* 'Snowdrift') were found to strongly attract egg laying females and had means of 2.9, or more, egg masses per tree. These susceptible taxa should be avoided where Eastern Tent Caterpillar is a problem.

Literature Cited

1. Dethier, V.G. 1980. The world of the tent-makers. The University of Massachusetts Press, Boston, MA.
2. Johnson, W.T. and H.H. Lyon. 1976. Insects that feed on trees and shrubs. Cornell University Press. Ithaca, NY.

SNA RESEARCH CONFERENCE - VOL. 40-1995

Table 1. Relative growth rates of Eastern Tent Caterpillar larvae reared on 56 different taxa of rosaceous tree species.

<u>Taxa</u>	<u>mg g⁻¹ week⁻¹</u>	<u>Taxa</u>	<u>mg g⁻¹ week⁻¹</u>
<i>Malus</i> 'Madonna'	213	<i>Malus</i> 'Prairie Maid'	164
<i>Malus</i> 'Baskatong'	207	<i>Prunus</i> 'Afterglow'	161
<i>Malus</i> 'Dolgo'	202	<i>Malus</i> 'Molten Lava'	159
<i>Malus</i> 'Harvest Gold'	201	<i>Malus</i> 'Mary Potter'	159
<i>Malus baccata</i> 'Jackii'	201	<i>Malus</i> 'Pink Princess'	158
<i>Malus</i> 'Snowdrift'	201	<i>Malus</i> 'Adirondack'	156
<i>Malus</i> 'Indian Summer'	200	<i>Prunus</i> 'Kwanzan'	143
<i>Malus</i> 'Radiant'	199	<i>Prunus</i> 'Snowgoose'	132
<i>Malus</i> 'Straw. Parfait'	197	<i>Prunus</i> 'Akebono'	128
<i>Malus</i> 'Red Splendor'	192	<i>Amelanchier</i> 'Aut. Bril.'	114
<i>Malus</i> 'Sinai Fire'	191	<i>Malus</i> 'Naragansett'	100
<i>Malus</i> 'Jewelberry'	191	<i>Prunus sargentii</i>	73
<i>Prunus</i> 'Hilliers Spire'	190	<i>Malus</i> 'Golden Raind.'	72
<i>Malus</i> 'Louisa'	190	<i>Malus tschonskii</i>	37
<i>Malus</i> 'Danald Wyman'	189	<i>Pyrus</i> 'Bradford'	22
<i>Malus</i> 'Adams'	189		
<i>Malus</i> 'Silver Drift'	187	LSD _{0.05}	52
<i>Malus</i> 'Doubloons'	186		
<i>Malus</i> 'Calloway'	186		
<i>Malus</i> 'Brandywine'	185		
<i>Malus</i> Sugar Tyme	184		
<i>Malus</i> 'Glen Mills'	182		
<i>Malus</i> 'Sentinel'	182		
<i>Malus hupehensis</i>	178		
<i>Malus</i> 'Robinson'	177		
<i>Prunus</i> 'Okame'	177		
<i>Prunus</i> 'Autumn. Rosea'	176		
<i>Malus</i> 'Pink Satin'	176		
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	174		
<i>Prunus</i> 'Canada Red'	174		
M. 'White Angel'	173		
M. 'Ormiston Roy'	173		
P. 'Snow Fountains'	170		
M. 'Silver Moon'	168		
M. 'Candy Mint'	167		
P. 'Hally Jolivette'	166		
M. 'Canary'	166		
<i>M. zumi</i> 'Calocarpa'	166		
<i>M. floribunda</i>	165		

SNA RESEARCH CONFERENCE - VOL. 40-1995

Table 2. Oviposition preference of Eastern Tent Caterpillar for *Malus* and *Prunus* taxa.

<u>Taxa</u>	<u>Egg masses/tree</u>	<u>Taxa</u>	<u>Egg masses/tree</u>
<u><i>Malus</i></u>		<u><i>Prunus</i></u>	
<i>M. hupehensis</i>	8.3	<i>P. 'Afterglow'</i>	2.0
<i>M. Sugar Tyme</i>	7.0	<i>P. 'Canada Red'</i>	0.3
<i>M. 'Radiant'</i>	4.3	<i>P. 'Autumn. Rosea'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Doubloons'</i>	4.0	<i>P. 'Hally Jollivete'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Sinai Fire'</i>	3.3	<i>P. 'Hillier Spire'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Sentinel'</i>	3.0	<i>P. 'Kwanzan'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Snowdrift'</i>	3.0	<i>P. 'Mt. Fuji'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Harvest Gold'</i>	2.7	<i>P. 'Okame'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Ormiston Roy'</i>	2.7	<i>P. sargentii</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Donald Wyman'</i>	2.5	<i>P. 'Snow Goose'</i>	0.0
<i>M. 'Silver Moon'</i>	2.3	<i>P. 'Tai Haku'</i>	0.0
<i>M. baccata 'Jackii'</i>	2.0		
<i>M. 'Red Splendor'</i>	1.7	LSD _{0.05} =	2.9
<i>M. 'Naragansett'</i>	1.5		
<i>M. 'Straw. Parfait'</i>	1.3		
<i>M. 'Radiant'</i>	1.0		
<i>M. floribunda</i>	0.7		
<i>M. 'Canary'</i>	0.5		
<i>M. 'White Angel'</i>	0.5		
<i>M. 'Callaway'</i>	0.5		
<i>M. 'Robinson'</i>	0.3		
<i>M. 'Madonna'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Golden Raind.'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Brandywine'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. zumi 'Calocarpa'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Molton Lava'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Adams'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Baskaong'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Indian Summer'</i>	0.0		
<i>M. 'Candy Mint'</i>	0.0		